

# **Natural Resources Wales permitting decisions**

## **Bespoke permit**

We have decided to grant the permit for Coombe Farm Poultry Unit operated by Mr Gareth Adams and Mr Huw Adams.

The permit number is **EPR/EP3232AD**

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

## **Purpose of this document**

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

## **Structure of this document**

- Annex 1 the decision checklist
- Annex 2 the consultation and web publicising and responses

## Annex 1: decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and permit/ notice.

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
<b>Yes</b>		
<b>Consultation</b>		
Scope of consultation	The consultation requirements were identified and implemented. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 6 High Profile Sites, our Public Participation Statement and our Working Together Agreements.	✓
Responses to consultation and web publicising	The web publicising and consultation responses (Annex 2) were taken into account in the decision.  The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	✓
<b>Operator</b>		
Control of the facility	We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator.	✓
<b>The site</b>		
Extent of the site of the facility	A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary.  A second site layout plan has been included as this shows the site layout within the installation boundary.	✓
Site condition report	The operator has provided a description of the condition of the site.  We consider this description is satisfactory. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on site condition reports – guidance and templates (H5).	✓
Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat .	✓

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
and Nature Conservation	<p>A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the sites/species/habitat has been carried out as part of the permitting process. We consider that the application will not affect the habitat.</p>	
<b>Environmental Risk Assessment and operating techniques</b>		
Environmental risk	<p>We have reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility.</p> <p>The operator's risk assessment is satisfactory.</p> <p>The assessment shows that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment [or similar methodology supplied by the operator and reviewed by ourselves], all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.</p> <p>This included scrutiny of the Odour and Noise modelling submitted by the applicant.</p>	✓
Operating techniques	<p>We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.</p> <p>The operator has proposed operating techniques for Broiler Production, Feed storage, Fuel and Chemical storage and dirty water storage in accordance with "How to comply EPR6.09"</p> <p>The proposed techniques for priorities for control are in line with the benchmark levels contained in the SGN EPR6.09 and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility. The permit conditions ensure compliance with relevant BREFs and BAT Conclusions, and ELVs deliver compliance with BAT-AELs.</p>	✓
<b>The permit conditions</b>		
Incorporating the application	<p>We have specified that the applicant must operate the permit in accordance with descriptions in the application, including all additional information received as part of the determination process.</p> <p>These descriptions are specified in the Operating Techniques table in the permit.</p>	✓

Aspect considered	Justification / Detail	Criteria met
		Yes
Emission limits	We have decided that no emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.	✓
Monitoring	No monitoring of emissions are required. Permit condition 3.1.3 has been included as a result of the industrial Emissions Directive (IED). However, we only enforce this monitoring where the Operator's risk assessment has stated in their site condition report that there is a risk to groundwater or soil from the activities taking place on the installation.	✓
Reporting	We have not specified reporting in the permit.	✓
<b>Operator Competence</b>		
Environment management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management systems to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. The decision was taken in accordance with RGN 5 on Operator Competence.	✓
Relevant convictions	The National Enforcement Database has been checked to ensure that all relevant convictions have been declared. No relevant convictions were found.	✓

## Annex 2: Consultation and web publicising responses

Summary of responses to consultation and web publication and the way in which we have taken these into account in the determination process. (Newspaper advertising is only carried out for certain application types, in line with our guidance.)

<b>Response received from</b>
Applicants Agent
<b>Brief summary of issues raised</b>
None
<b>Summary of actions taken or show how this has been covered</b>
N/A